

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

|   |             |                      |                         | $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}$ |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.            |
| 09/740,017  |             | Shi-Tron Lin         | 6484.0073               |                             |
| FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,<br>GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.<br>1300 I Street, N. W. |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                             |
|   |             |                      | MEIER, STEPHEN D        |                             |
| Washington, DC 20005  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER                |
|   |             | 2822                 |                         |                             |
|   |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/14/2002 |                             |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •  |  | Application No.                  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| *Office Action Summary   |  | 09/740,017                       | LIN, SHI-TRON  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner                         | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>   |  | Stephen D. Meier                 | 2822   |  |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 30days MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)[   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi  | s action is non-final.           |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🗌   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-100</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠  | Claim(s) $\underline{1-100}$ are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.            |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) 🗌 -   | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | :                                |  |  |  |  |
| 10) 🔲 -  | Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep  | ted or b) objected to by the Exa | aminer.  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa  | aminer.                          |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Informal            | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

I. Claims 1-82 and 97-100, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in Class 257, subclass 355.

II. Claims 83-96, drawn to a process for making a semiconductor device, classified in Class 438, subclass 142.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by processes materially different than those of the group II invention. For example, the island may be formed prior to the diffusions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and, as shown by the above different classifications, the fields of search are not co-extensive and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Application/Control Number: 09/740,017

Art Unit: 2822

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Stephen Meier whose telephone number is (703) 308-4896. The Examiner is off on the first Friday of each biweek, however can generally be reached Monday through Friday during normal business hours, including first Fridays of the biweek.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. The fax number for the group is (703) 308-7722.

Meier February 12, 2002

> Stephen D. Meier Primary Examiner Art Unit 2822